

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11

12 FRANK PABST, an individual, on behalf
13 of himself and all others similarly situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 GENESCO, INC., a Tennessee
17 corporation; and DOES 1 through 50,
18 inclusive,

19 Defendants.

Case No. C 11-01592 (SI)

**STIPULATED [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER
GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES
SHOULD BE RELATED**

[Civ. L.R. 3-12, 7-11]

Ctrm: 10, 19th Floor
Judge: Hon. Susan Illston

Complaint Filed: March 4, 2011
Trial Date: Not Set

20 On October 18, 2011, plaintiff Frank Pabst ("Plaintiff" or "Pabst") and defendant
21 Genesco, Inc. ("Genesco," and jointly with Plaintiff, "the Parties") filed a stipulated
22 administrative motion to consider whether the following cases should be related pursuant to Civil
23 Local Rules 3-12 and 7-11:

- 24 1. *Pabst v. Genesco, Inc.*, U.S. District Court in and for the Northern District of
25 California, San Francisco Division, Case No. C 11-01592 (SI) (the "*Pabst* Action"); and
26 2. *Fraser v. Genesco, Inc.*, U.S. District Court in and for the Northern District of
27 California, Oakland Division, Case No. C 11-04881 (LB) (the "*Fraser* Action," and collectively
28 with the *Pabst* Action, the "Actions").

1 Having considered the papers submitted in support of the Parties' motion, the Court, for
2 good cause shown, hereby **GRANTS** the motion.

3 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT** the *Fraser* Action is related to the *Pabst* Action and
4 is re-assigned to this Court.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: October 24 2011

By



SUSAN ILLSTON
U.S. District Court Judge